Appln No. 10/584,178 Amdt date December 26, 2007

Reply to Office action of September 21, 2007

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 4-8 and 10 are pending in this application. New claim 10 is added.

Claim 9 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, for "insufficient antecedent basis" for the following limitations: "said target," "said peak frequency," "said upsweep and downsweep," and "prescribed range." However, claim 9 was inadvertently canceled in the Amendment dated December 19, 2007. The canceled claim 9 is now rewritten in an independent form including all of the limitations of it base claim 1 and correcting the above mentioned § 112, second paragraph issues, as the new claim 10. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the new claim 10 be entered and allowed in addition to the allowable claims 4-8. Please note that in a Preliminary Amendment dated June 23, 2006, a typographical error was corrected in claim 9, which made claim 9 dependent on claim 1.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that this application is now in condition for allowance, and accordingly, reconsideration and allowance are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP

Raymond R. Tabandeh

Reg. No. 43,945 626/795-9900

RRT/clv

CLV PAS771356.1-*-12/26/07 3:45 PM